

### REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 10-13, 21-23, 25, 26, 28, 34, 35, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Magee (US 2003/0086508). Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.


Applicants have amended rejected independent claims to include corresponding limitation of depended claims indicated by the Examiner to be allowable. Applicants believe that these claims are now patentably distinguishable from the cited reference. Applicants have presented new claims 40-43 that are based on the limitation indicated to be allowable.

### Double Patenting

Claims 1, 13, 25, 34, and 38 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 5 of patent application 10/001986. Applicants have amended these claims and believe that they are patentably distinguishable from claim 5 of the cited application. Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the double patenting rejection of these claims.

Applicant believes this application and the claims herein to be in a condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have further inquiry concerning these matters, please contact the below named attorney for Applicant.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Abdul Zindani  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 46,091

Texas Instruments Incorporated  
P.O. Box 655474, MS 3999  
Dallas, TX 75265  
(972) 917-5137